

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 91545

Title: Current considerations on intraductal papillary neoplasms of the bile duct and

pancreatic duct

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02734287 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FEBS, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-11 10:03

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-13 18:34

Review time: 2 Days and 8 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
tins manuscript	[ ] Grade D. No cleativity of fillovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] Yes [ ] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a letter to the editor submitted with regard to the paper by Mocchegiani F et al. titled "Intraductal papillary neoplasm of the bile duct: The new frontier of biliary pathology" published in World J Gastroenterol. The letter is primarily a short review dealing with the topics of intraductal papillary neoplasms of the bile duct and pancreatic duct. While providing interesting and up-to-date content regarding the above mentioned topics, there are little or no comments of strengths or weaknesses of the paper by Mocchegiani F et al. This short review may merit publication in another journal or in this journal on another occasion, but its content is only partly related to the paper that it was suppose to provide comments on. The topic of IPMN of the pancreas reviewed in the letter is an entirely different area from IPN-BD and, therefore, I believe that this letter would not make an appropriate comment on the paper by Mocchegiani F et al. If the authors are ready to make a comprehensive revision of their letter, they should only focus on the IPN-BD and provide more insight and analysis with regard to the paper by Mocchegiani F et al.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 91545

Title: Current considerations on intraductal papillary neoplasms of the bile duct and

pancreatic duct

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02734287 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FEBS, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-02

Reviewer chosen by: Li Li

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-02-04 17:22

Reviewer performed review: 2024-02-05 19:16

**Review time:** 1 Day and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript was revised and I agree with the publication in the revised form.