

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 90203

Title: Ability of Helicobacter pylori to internalize into Candida

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503433

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor, Professor, Senior Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-27

Reviewer chosen by: Lin Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-16 01:33

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-21 02:52

Review time: 5 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Letter to the Editor entitled: "Candida accommodates non-culturable *Helicobacter pylori* in its vacuoles" touches on a very interesting topic, which has been under debate. However, the letter is formulated in a confusing manner. Hence its not clear which points are the actual hard core experimental facts provided by Siavoshi et al., Alipour et al., or those of the authors, to vote for or against one of the sides of the argument. I therefore recommend that the authors create a tables with 3 specific columns, listing each of the mentioned pieces of the experimental evidence provided by the 3 mentioned research labs and end with a very clear calculation of the scientific weight of each column, leading to a firm conclusion. Thus to bring this argument to a scientific closure.