

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 88539

Title: Antiviral treatment standards for hepatitis B: An urgent need for expansion

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04072104 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Doctor, Occupational Physician, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-18 06:18

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-28 13:32

Review time: 10 Days and 7 Hours

[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Good
[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting manuscript about "Antiviral treatment standards for hepatitis B: An urgent need for expansion". My concern is determined in the following points. The European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) suggest that the ULN for ALT is 40 U/L. the current ALT threshold may not be suitable as an indicator for initiating antiviral treatment for chronic HBV infection, as a significant proportion of HBV-infected patients with normal ALT levels exhibit significant liver inflammation and fibrosis. The American Hepatitis B Foundation organized a report meeting titled Expanding Hepatitis B Treatment Guidelines put forth a strategy for antiviral treatment in all HBV DNA-positive individuals. The primary goal of antiviral therapy is to suppress HBV DNA levels to undetectable, as this end point is associated with improvement in liver inflammation and fibrosis and reversal of cirrhosis, a lower risk of HCC, and reduced liver-related mortality. Above mentioned should be referred to.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 88539

Title: Antiviral treatment standards for hepatitis B: An urgent need for expansion

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02997260 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Lithuania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-27

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-23 07:46

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-27 12:20

Review time: 4 Days and 4 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
-	
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language
	polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing []
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority)
	[Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, a well-written letter with a clearly articulated position. The only thing that needs to be done is to edit the text more carefully, as there are typos, and to organize the list of references according to the requirements of the journal.