

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 90472

Title: PRaG 3.0 therapy – a novel combination therapy of R 8, radiotherapy, PD-1 inhibitor, GM-CSF and IL-2 for HE -positive metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: A case report Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 02914303 **Position:** Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD Professional title: Doctor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-06 Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-28 17:30 Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-02 12:50 Review time: 4 Days and 19 Hours [ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Scientific quality Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish [Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade C: Fair [] Grade B: Good Novelty of this manuscript

[ ] Grade D: No novelty



## Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No scientific significance</li> </ul>
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review:       [] Anonymous       [Y] Onymous         Conflicts-of-Interest:       [] Yes       [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPT ID: 90472 (World Journal of Gastroenterology) Congratulations for this very interesting and unique case report of a patient responding well to a novel line of combined treatment (RC48, radiotherapy, pD-1 infibitor, GM-CSF and IL-2) for a very aggressive type of cancer, metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. This is my report regarding the submitted paper. 1. Title. The title does reflect properly the content of the manuscript. The type of article is clearly stipulated: clinical case report. 2. Abstract. The abstract reflects very well all aspects of the manuscript, with clear subchapters. 3. Key Words. Well chosen, but I will suggest removing "case report", since this type of information is visible within the type of article itself. I would suggest "novel" as a possible replacement. 4. Background. The background is constructed according to a case presentation paper. 5. Methods. This section is constructed according to a case presentation paper. The level of detail is



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

consistent with a case report. Also, the provided CARE Checklist adds more clarity to the paper. 6. Results. This section is constructed according to a case presentation paper. The level of detail is consistent with a case report. 7. Discussion. This section is constructed according to a case presentation paper. The level of detail is consistent with a case report. The number of citations is adequate, and the time span is appropriate. However, please add the proper citation references for the following statements: - in LINE 202, regarding the success rate of first line AG chemotherapy. - in LINE 212, regarding the characteristics of durvalumab (PD-L1 inhibitor) during the mentioned phase II trial. 8. Illustrations and tables. All images provided are suitable for publishing. 9. Biostatistics. Not aplicable. 10. Units. Yes. All medical information have been reported in SI. 11. References. The list of references is adequate, also the timespan is appropriate. 12. Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. It is fit for publication. 13. Research methods and reporting. The appropriate CARE Checklist (2013) for Case report has been used. 14. Ethics statements. Documents have been provided. 15. Backmatter. The informed consent of the patient has been obtained and presented. 16. Language quality. The English language is consistently good through the entire manuscript. Also, the authors provided a non-native English certificate for professional proofing. Date, 02.01.2024