

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**Manuscript NO:** 57706

**Title:** New thoughts on the diagnosis and treatment of patients with diabetes mellitus in relation to coronavirus disease

**Reviewer's code:** 00503474

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Saudi Arabia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-06-29

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-06-29 10:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-06 11:30

**Review time:** 7 Days

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: New thoughts on diagnosis and treatment of diabetic patients based on coronavirus 2019 infection Manuscript category: A review article Overall publication

value of the article: 1. Is the subject an important one? : Yes 2.

Does the article possess scientific value? : Yes 3. Is the purpose of the article clearly stated? : Yes Specific Comments: 1. Is the paper scientifically

accurate? : 2. Does the manuscript fit the scope of the journal? 3. Is the title and abstract informative, and do they give a clear idea of what to expect from the paper? : Yes 4. Introduction: The background of the study is made clear and helpful

to readers unfamiliar with the subject. Please note: • P4, at the end of paragraph one: The authors mentioned “prevalence of diabetes who infected with new coronavirus pneumonia was ? “ what does the (?) mean?. Please correct. • P7 under Biguanids, the authors, mentioned:” Some scholars have clarified the potential role of AMPK in regulating the expression and stability of ACE 2 (18), so in theory, metformin may increase the stability of ACE 2 in the respiratory tract, thereby promoting SARS-CoV 2 infection ??.” Also mentioned “Some scholars also believed that metformin could not only prevent the entry of SARS-COV-2 but also induce the activation of ACE 2 through AMPK-signaling pathway, thus preventing harmful sequelae” where it should be the reverse that metformin protects against COVID infection. Please explain. • Under the

DPP-4 inhibitors title: what does “glucagon sample peptide 1” mean? 5. Is there anything missing that you feel should be included to make the paper complete or more comprehensive?: Should expand the paragraph about Covid 19 and diabetes.

6. Are the technical terms/compound names spelt correctly? : Yes. Please see above. 7.

Is the manuscript written? Do the language, grammar and punctuation need significant improvement? : Yes, there is a lot of typo mistakes and inconsistent sentences. It needs



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**<https://www.wjgnet.com>**

English editing. 8. Does the paper leave any question in this particular field unanswered? : No 9. Do the authors clearly state their opinion?: Yes 10. Is the reference section adequate, or is it too long and should it be cut down? : Yes 10. Are the references up-to-date and appropriate? : Yes 11. Are all the tables or figures are well designed, adequate and necessary, please? : Authors did not supply any table or figures. 12. Is there a conflict of interest? No conflict of interest.