

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 60226

Title: Coffee Consumption and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Asian: A

Meta-epidemiological Study of Population-based Cohort Studies

Reviewer's code: 01221169
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-28 06:36

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-29 07:50

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting topic. Nice study, well conducted. Original study, producing novel findings for Asian populations. In particular, veru interesting the aspects of gender-oriented differences.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 60226

Title: Coffee Consumption and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Asian: A

Meta-epidemiological Study of Population-based Cohort Studies

Reviewer's code: 05186352 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-27

Reviewer chosen by: Li Ma (Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-07 10:56

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-09 11:44

Review time: 2 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to review the article entitle "Coffee Consumption and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Asian: A Meta-epidemiological Study of Population-based Cohort Studies ". Coffee is still an interesting topic that arouse a lot of public attention. This paper investigated its effect on T2D in Asian people with summarisation from previous studies. However, several concerns are raised to be 1.Introduction: "....as well as Europeans and Americans through a addressed: systematic review published in 2018" Please also add the estimate for Europeans and Americans. 2. "Because South-East Asia and Western Pacific Regions were estimated to have more than 50% of cases being undiagnosed", This sentence did not indicate the disease undiagnosed which might cause confusion for readers, please revise 3. "Also, results of dose-response meta-analysis (DRMA) by sex in Calström et it. al. [8] showed that 'there was indication of a stronger association in women in the dose-response analysis per additional cup per day of coffee (P for difference by sex=0.03)." Please remove the quote mark and revise this sentence. 4. "....in the risk of T2DM on coffee consumption" "The risk of T2DM on coffee consumption" might cause misunderstanding, please revise it. 5. "This strategy reflects the fact that published papers would cite previously published studies conducted with the same hypothesis [16]. " In my opinion this sentence could be removed. 6. Could authors make the selection criteria in more details? 7. Please provide a flowchart for procedure of selecting the final included studies; and a table for the basic information of included studies. 8. I suggest the authors to report the test for heterogeneity in either I-square or p-value. 9. I would suggest the author to evaluate and discuss the quality of included studies more. 10. The authors could discuss the potential mechanism of coffee on T2D instead of only comparing the previous studies. 11.



The first paragraph of "comparison to previous evidence" should be rephrased because it convey implicit information.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 60226

Title: Coffee Consumption and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Asian: A

Meta-epidemiological Study of Population-based Cohort Studies

Reviewer's code: 01221169
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-27

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-24 04:58

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-24 05:07

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



Tha Authors answered all the items requested by the Reviewers.