



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 63527

Title: Diabetes Remission after Bariatric Surgery

Reviewer's code: 05824778

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-28

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-03 08:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-31 02:07

Review time: 27 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is focused on reviewing current data on the remission of diabetes after Bariatric Surgery. I deem this mini-review concise and yet thorough. Data collection appears properly discussed and nicely presented. Still, I have some concerns about literature research. Following PRISMA guidelines as recommended for systematic reviews (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses), I suggest including in the methods the research period, which combinations of keywords were used in the strings of research, and the criteria for eligibility and exclusion of studies, giving a rationale. Moreover, it would be useful to describe the method of data extraction, of results combination, and the possible bias and limits of each study included in the review. Nevertheless, addressing the issues above, the review represents a valuable contribution to confirm effectiveness of BS in treating diabetes compared to medical therapy alone, even with long-term data.