

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Diabetes*

**Manuscript NO:** 73071

**Title:** Risk factors for mortality within 6 months in patients with diabetes undergoing urgent-start peritoneal dialysis: a multicenter retrospective cohort study

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03906428

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Russia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-11-09 06:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-11-17 07:30

**Review time:** 8 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="radio"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article "Risk factors for death within six months in patients with diabetes undergoing urgent-start peritoneal dialysis: a multicenter retrospective cohort study" was submitted for review. The article is devoted to an extremely relevant topic, namely, end-stage renal failure (ESRD), which is a global health problem. Studies of patients on peritoneal dialysis have shown that patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) have a worse prognosis than patients without diabetes, in addition to lower survival rates due to the high prevalence of cardiovascular disease. This study examines both the occurrence and risk factors for death within the first six months after initiation of USPD in diabetic patients. The introduction section contains all the necessary information about the relevance of the problem under study, the purpose of the study. The Materials and Methods section well describes the characteristics of patient selection and study design, types of dialysis, data collection and definitions, and statistical methods for data analysis. The Results section is presented well and comprehensively. The Discussion section is well presented. The list of references contains 33 sources. The article is well illustrated with 2 tables and 4 figures. It is concluded that the risk of death in the first six months in diabetic patients was highest after the initiation of the USPD. We hypothesize that controlling serum phosphorus levels and improving cardiac function will reduce the risk of death during the first six months in these patients. Conclusion. The article can be recommended for publication without changes.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Diabetes*

**Manuscript NO:** 73071

**Title:** Risk factors for mortality within 6 months in patients with diabetes undergoing urgent-start peritoneal dialysis: a multicenter retrospective cohort study

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05820329

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-11-24 05:32

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-12-10 01:16

**Review time:** 15 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The article looks good. It is technically sounding great with proper statistics and is informative as well. However, the paper has a lot of grammatical and punctuation mistakes. The sentence formation and paragraph formation are not up to the mark. I recommend rigorous revision of the entire script for language improvement. Overall, the paper can be accepted after the suggested minor revisions are done.