

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 80530

Title: Glucose metabolism continuous deteriorating in male patients with human

immunodeficiency virus accepted antiretroviral therapy for 156 weeks

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04471788 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bangladesh

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-07 07:59

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-07 08:11

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) Authors need to write the research gap in Introduction clearly and according to the research gap, you should write your contribution at the end of Introduction. 2) You need to draw a schematic diagram or work flow diagram to demonstrate your work. 3) You need to compare the results with some other recent existing works. 4) Please read and cite: a) A classification of MRI brain tumor based on two stage feature level ensemble of deep CNN models (2022), b) Accuracy of Real Time Continuous Glucose Monitoring during Different Liquid Solution Challenges in Healthy Adults: A Randomized Controlled Cross-Over Trial (2022), c) Hyperglycemia and blood glucose deterioration are risk factors for severe COVID - 19 with diabetes: A two - center cohort study (2022) d) LungNet: A Hybrid Deep-CNN Model for Lung Cancer Diagnosis Using CT and Wearable Sensor-based Medical IoT Data (2021)



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 80530

Title: Glucose metabolism continuous deteriorating in male patients with human

immunodeficiency virus accepted antiretroviral therapy for 156 weeks

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03830173 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-30 09:03

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-30 09:31

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, your manuscript "Continuous deterioration of glucose metabolism in treatment-naive male patients with human immunodeficiency virus and treated with tenofovir plus lamivudine plus efavirenz for 156 weeks" covers an important topic of metabolic deterioration after initiation and continuation of therapy for AIDS, which then leads to yet another major health concern- Prediabetes and Diabetes mellitus and correlates with CV comorbidities. I would suggest to be more precise in the Inclusion/Exclusion criteria- maybe to state that those with known DM or Prediabetes at the study start were excluded (please provide the number of patients screened for the selection to give better overview on those who fulfilled the criteria for inclusion and follow-up). I suppose blood samples were collected and analyzed in the same, central lab? State more clearly study strenghts and study limits. Please add data on antidiabetic agents introduced during the study to treat hyperglycemia.