

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 82798

Title: The effect of resveratrol in gestational diabetes mellitus and its complications: a review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03769070

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-28 20:19

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-05 22:05

Review time: 8 Days and 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript ID: 82798 Manuscript Title: The effect of resveratrol in gestational diabetes mellitus and its complications: a review Manuscript ID: 82798 Manuscript Title: The effect of resveratrol in gestational diabetes mellitus and its complications: a review Dear authors, In order to contribute to the improvement of the manuscript, some suggestions are proposed according to the Guideline for review: 1. Please note that the manuscript must be prepared using 12 pt Book Antiqua font. Do not use superscript numbers or symbols to identify the authors' affiliation and corresponding author; check the topics, subtopics and reference formatting. Please, see the journal guideline; 2. ORCID number of the authors is required; 3. The core tip, the running title and key words are absent; 4. Provides the abbreviation before using it for the first time (see abstract - RSV, GMD). 5. Please check the mistakes typing words; 6. Check the scientific names so that they are all in italic. Best regards.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 82798

Title: The effect of resveratrol in gestational diabetes mellitus and its complications: a review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04105454

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-27 06:00

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-27 11:33

Review time: 5 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	 []Grade A: Excellent [Y]Grade B: Good []Grade C: Fair []Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is well written review article introduction is adequate discussion needs to be concise and to the point no conclusion written clearly you can make a summary table for its use and benefits