

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 88594

Title: Practical guide: Glucagon-like peptide-1 and dual glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in diabetes mellitus

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05573818

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-01 15:14

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-10 14:46

Review time: 8 Days and 23 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty



Baishideng Baismuchg Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review is interesting and meaningful. The authors provide a comprehensive guide regarding GLP-1 RAs and dual GIP and GLP-1 RA use in daily clinical practice. Specifically, this topic falls squarely within the scope of our journal. However, I have to provide several suggestions. 1 Title. The title should be changed. Practical Guide for Using GLP-1 and Dual GIP and GLP-1 Receptor Agonists for the Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Clinical Practice. Please consider delete "in Clinical Practice" in the title. 2 Abstract. The abstract should be concise. Please delete un-relevant part. Please unify the discerption of T2DM. And add the full name of GIP when it first appears. 3 Key Words. Yes. 4 Background. This part is too long and contains lots of common information. Please delete irrelevant part to make it concise. 5 Methods. We searched PubMed using the terms GLP-1 AND (switch OR switching OR switched); and GLP-1 AND (once-daily OR "once daily") AND (once-weekly OR "once weekly") with no



lower limit set for the date, using MeSH and free text terms to match relevant articles. No GIP? 6 Results. Part 3 is too long and this part should re-organize. 7 Discussion. Yes 8 Illustrations and tables. Yes 9 Please polish the sentence. Several grammar errors were found in the manuscript.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 88594

Title: Practical guide: Glucagon-like peptide-1 and dual glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in diabetes mellitus

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02840633

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-06 14:07

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-15 13:16

Review time: 8 Days and 23 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty



Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

See the attached file, please.