



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7875

Title: Impact of Chronic Disease Self-Management Programs on type 2 diabetes management in primary care

Reviewer code: 00506298

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-12-04 11:48

Date reviewed: 2013-12-07 20:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study by Forjuoh et al, aimed to assess the effectiveness of the Chronic Diseases Self-management Program on the metabolic control. This is an interesting investigation on the practical point of view, however, have some dark point that authors' should clarify before its publication in the WJD: 1. In design part lines 6-7 said that eligibly subjects will randomized "to one of four study arms", however, the study only consider two study arms. Do you clarify this point? 2. In results section, last paragraph, why authors' said that they found differences between the two arms regarding to inspection the inside of their shoes, report of unhealthy physical days and less limited days due to physical and mental health when the statistical significance is $p \geq 0.05$?

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Diabetes**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7875**Title:** Impact of Chronic Disease Self-Management Programs on type 2 diabetes management in primary care**Reviewer code:** 02459030**Science editor:** Cui, Xue-Mei**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-04 11:48**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-12 19:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is to assess the effectiveness of the Chronic Disease Self- Management Program (CDSMP) on glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and selected self-reported measures. However, the CDSMP intervention may not lower HbA1c any better than good routine care in an integrated healthcare system. I suggest that it is needed to further compare various measures between two groups according to different levels of demographic and baseline clinical characteristics (for example, age for 3 levels: 30-44, 45-64, >65). Besides, the language of the manuscript needs revision.