

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9510

Title: 15 year follow-up of quality of life in type 1 diabetes mellitus: no impact of treatment mode (FANTA-1)

Reviewer code: 00674619

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-02-15 23:37

Date reviewed: 2014-03-26 17:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Detailed comments for transmission to the authors, The manuscript by Dr. Peter R. van Dijk and collaborators show that over a period of 15 years general health related quality of life is almost stable among patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabetic patients are known to have a worse quality of life than individuals without diabetes. Unfortunately, in most medical conditions, the correlation between clinical status and health-related quality of life is modest. Therefore, the study of the impact of type 1 diabetes mellitus on quality of life is a very important issue. For this reason, I found that this paper is state-of-the-art and the presented results are of potential interest for a wide readership, in particular for the patients with type 1 diabetes. Although a number of studies on this subject have been published, still much remain to be clarified. Thus, the present work appears timely. The authors expressed their points clear and developed them, finding a lot of articles backed by evidence (examples) to support or not their results. They also had a critical thinking and a well-organized writing. A recommendation would be that the authors stress throughout the revised article novelty and importance of their study.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9510

Title: 15 year follow-up of quality of life in type 1 diabetes mellitus: no impact of treatment mode (FANTA-1)

Reviewer code: 00039368

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-02-15 23:37

Date reviewed: 2014-04-01 20:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very well done and written clinical 15 year follow-up study considers the evaluation of on long term metabolic control and health related quality of life in type 1 diabetes patients treated with various therapy modes. The authors performed a prospective, long follow-up study on 283 T1D patients investigating several disease factors, including dynamics of clinical data, oxidative stress, health related quality of life and special attention was paid to investigation whether the mode of therapy (multiple daily injection or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion) may influenced on long term clinical and health related quality of life parameters in T1D patients. The main finding of the study was no significant changes in metabolic and health related quality of life parameters after a 15 years follow-up of T1D patients who used various treatments modalities. This study is an extension of the previous study of the authors, make a contribution to clinical follow-up studies of moderating and control of clinical parameters as well as to better understanding of the factors which may impact to health related quality of life in type 1 diabetes patients. This is a very well written and set up experimental study. The authors give a well and clear overview about the study background and raised clearly the hypothesis of the study. The description of methods used is accurate. The aim of the study is fulfilled. The material studied is large enough and allows to drawn the conclusions. The 4 Tables presented in the paper are accurate and give a good overview about the studied materials and results. The Results are presented clearly and have been discussed well.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9510

Title: 15 year follow-up of quality of life in type 1 diabetes mellitus: no impact of treatment mode (FANTA-1)

Reviewer code: 00646289

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-02-15 23:37

Date reviewed: 2014-04-09 14:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript talks about a clinical follow-up study of 15 years, comparatively presenting the results of the effects of different therapy modalities applied to type 1 diabetes patients, and discussing the related issues especially on long term metabolic control and health-related quality of life in these patients. The authors report that the metabolic control and health-related quality of life was not altered in a significant level in these patients when different treatment regimens/types were applied. The manuscript is well written, although requires a few minor corrections in language. It presents the results in a clear, organized, and easy to understand way, and discusses the results well. The results are of great importance, and the number of patients followed-up in the study makes the conclusions noteworthy. It is within the scope of World Journal of Diabetes, and should be considered within priority publishing.