

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7813

Title: Diabetes treatment in patients with renal disease: Is the landscape clear enough?

Reviewer code: 02446566

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-12-02 09:54

Date reviewed: 2014-02-19 13:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I generally agree with the algorithm suggested by your manuscript. Patients CKD stage 3 to 4 may sometimes show lower HbA1c compared with their blood glucose. Do you have any idea for monitoring the blood glucose of these patients ? If postprandial blood glucose reach over 300 mg/dL, is it tolerable to avoid severe hypoglycemia ?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7813

Title: Diabetes treatment in patients with renal disease: Is the landscape clear enough?

Reviewer code: 02459030

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-12-02 09:54

Date reviewed: 2014-02-19 20:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article introduced diabetes treatments, including various antidiabetic drugs, to us. The pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of these drugs were described completely, however, the molecular mechanisms of some drugs, especially new drugs, including DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1 RA, were not described. I suggest that the author should supplement some information about those mechanisms.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7813

Title: Diabetes treatment in patients with renal disease: Is the landscape clear enough?

Reviewer code: 02446524

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-12-02 09:54

Date reviewed: 2014-03-04 15:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good work.