

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11859

**Title:** Diabetes mellitus in Nigeria: The past, present and future

**Reviewer code:** 00503474

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-10 10:46

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-12 06:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Journal: WJD Title: Diabetes mellitus in Nigeria: The past, present and future Manuscript category: Review article Overall publication value of the article: 1. Is the subject an important one?: yes 2. Does the article possess scientific, practical and educational value?: yes 3. Is the paper scientifically accurate? yes 4. Does the paper fit the scope of the journal? yes 5. Is the title and abstract informative and do they give a clear idea of what to expect from the paper? yes 6. Does the title bring the main message of the study?: yes 7. Is the abstract presented in structured form? yes 8. Does the abstract give an adequate picture of the entire article? yes 9. Is the background of the study made clear and helpful to readers unfamiliar with the subject? 10. Is the purpose of the article clearly stated?: yes 11. Is the interpretation of the article clearly presented and adequately supported by the evidence adduced?: yes 12. Are the conclusions logically valid and justified by the evidence adduced?: yes 13. Are all the figures and tables adequate and necessary?: No figures 14. Is the reference section adequate? yes 15. Are the references up-to-date and appropriate? yes 16. Have the most important previous studies been cited?: yes 17. Are the technical terms/compound names spelt correctly? English revision is needed. 18. Is the paper clearly written? Do the language, grammar and punctuation need significant improvement? Need English revision . 19. Do the authors express their opinion or just they simply produce standard review of the field?: Express their opinion clearly about problem of DM in Nigeria. 20. Is there any missed important points ? No 21. Do you feel that the disclosure statement within the manuscript is fair and sufficient? Please



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

let the editor know if you feel there might be undisclosed conflicts of interest. yes 22. Is there any conflict of interest?: No

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11859

**Title:** Diabetes mellitus in Nigeria: The past, present and future

**Reviewer code:** 00037668

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-10 10:46

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-20 01:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscripts reviews the status of diabetes in the Nigerian population. The study emphasizes the changes occurring in the incidence and distribution of the disease in the population and the most commonly used therapeutic approaches available to control the disease progression and its complications. The manuscript is essentially well written (the abstract requires some minor polishing: NCD? please spell out; remove the between by and communicable...; ...funding must be put...).

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11859

**Title:** Diabetes mellitus in Nigeria: The past, present and future

**Reviewer code:** 00573611

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-10 10:46

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-18 10:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review type of manuscript, the authors used MEDLINE system and public search engines to search the reference lists of literature on diabetes in Nigeria. Comments The reviewer has some concerns about this manuscript as follows: 1. In the Introduction section, the descriptions of motive and aim for this review were lacking. The motive and aim for a review article are important. 2. The conclusion and suggestion are not clear. The authors should describe more clearly for their conclusion and suggestion. 3. The presentation with figure or table can help to enhance the comprehension for reading. The authors may consider presenting some figures or tables. 4. The format and language editing in this manuscript should be revised.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11859

**Title:** Diabetes mellitus in Nigeria: The past, present and future

**Reviewer code:** 00069894

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-10 10:46

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-10 20:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In its current form the manuscript is not easy to read. There are many sentences do not make any sense. This manuscript needs some editing.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11859

**Title:** Diabetes mellitus in Nigeria: The past, present and future

**Reviewer code:** 01919991

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-10 10:46

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-11 00:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript represents a reliable overview of the condition of diabetes in the Nigerian population. There are several typos and the manuscript needs some language polishing.