

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21365

**Title:** From non-obese diabetic to Network for the Pancreatic Organ Donor with Diabetes: New heights in type 1 diabetes research

**Reviewer's code:** 00504156

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-13 18:11

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-22 02:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is suitable for publication

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21365

**Title:** From non-obese diabetic to Network for the Pancreatic Organ Donor with Diabetes: New heights in type 1 diabetes research

**Reviewer's code:** 00289642

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-13 18:11

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-22 03:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a well-written short commentary highlighting the importance of the nPOD program in advancing the translatable research of Type 1 diabetes. After briefly describing the historical milestones of Type 1 diabetes research, from the discovery of insulin to the development of the NOD mouse model, the authors discussed the potential pitfalls of the clinical trials conducted so far, which have resulted in no to modest impact on islet preservation. I have a few minor suggestions: 1) Can the authors present the major clinical trials conducted on T1D, including the treatment, major findings, rationales (the studies on NOD mice and reference) and the clinical results, in a tabular format? This will give the readers, who are not familiar with T1D research, an overall idea why research on the NOD mouse model is not sufficient. 2) The authors should provide the full name of the nPOD, its funding agency, their website and ways to get involved. 3) The authors should give some examples of new findings from nPOD-supported studies, especially those that can potential cause paradigm changes in T1D research. This should also be done in tabular format. 4) Please revise the second last sentence of the first paragraph in Page 3. It is a collaborative scientific effort. Please delete "wild



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

science-fiction dream". 5) The last sentence of the first paragraph: please specify, in more detail, how humanized mice can be integrated in the nPOD program for T1D research.