

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 13585

Title: Type 2 diabetes after gestational diabetes- the influence of changing diagnostic criteria

Reviewer code: 02455216

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-08-31 18:54

Date reviewed: 2014-10-29 19:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written review, however critical appraisal of the available literature is needed by considering the validity of the different studies to reach conclusion about which evidence is more valid and reliable. Authors should pay attention to scientific terms such as polycystic ovary syndrome

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 13585

Title: Type 2 diabetes after gestational diabetes- the influence of changing diagnostic criteria

Reviewer code: 00611279

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-08-31 18:54

Date reviewed: 2014-10-25 04:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review is about the evolution of diagnostic criteria of gestational diabetes. The manuscript is well written. A few suggests are listed below. 1. The authors reviewed several diagnostic criteria. However, no validity information related to each criteria such as sensitivity and specificity has been discussed. It may be interesting to include this information. 2. Again, it is unclear that the implication of changing criteria on the proportion of women with previous GDM progressing to diabetes is mainly due to the change of sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic criteria of GDM. Please clarify it in the discussion. 3. The section of risk factors for future progression seems not related to the main purposes of the review.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 13585

Title: Type 2 diabetes after gestational diabetes- the influence of changing diagnostic criteria

Reviewer code: 00291433

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-08-31 18:54

Date reviewed: 2014-09-02 18:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting manuscript, although at times it reads more as an opinion piece than as a review (particularly as there is no published data yet as to the long-term follow-up of women diagnosed as having GDM during pregnancy using the IADPSG criteria). It is therefore rather speculative in parts. The major limitation of the manuscript is that there is frequently a lack of critical evaluation of the literature, e.g. in the section about "Risk factors for future progression" only the 'positive' studies (i.e. those showing a relationship) for a particular factor are cited rather than both the positive and negative studies with an accompanying commentary that tries to evaluate why the results differ and what they may mean. I also think that the section about Ethnicity also needs to be strengthened and would suggest that the authors look at papers such as those by Jenum et al. (Eur J Endocrinol 166:317-24) and Hedderston et al. (Diabetes Care 35:1492-8). These show that the rise in prevalence of GDM in women using the IADPSG criteria differs by ethnicity and that the maternal BMIs associated with different degrees of glucose intolerance in pregnancy also varies by ethnicity. Specific Points 1. Page 7. Please define "HAPO" at its first use (at present it is defined further down the same paragraph). 2. Page 8. Please add the word "concentration" after "c-peptide." 3. Page 11. Please change "polycystic ovarian syndrome" to "polycystic ovary syndrome." 4. Page 16. Three lines from the bottom of the page. Please add the word "more" before the word "likely." 5. Page 21. Three lines from the bottom of the page. Please add the word "having" before the word "GDM." 6. Table 1. Please add



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

a decimal place to the one hour glucose NDDG value.