

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 15633

Title: (Revised) Psychological themes that influence self-mangement of Type 1 diabetes

Reviewer's code: 00225291

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-12-02 15:49

Date reviewed: 2015-01-13 23:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript deals with a topic with which basic researcher may not be familiar with: psychological aspects of diabetes. In this sense, the manuscript is pertinent. I dare suggest some suggestions, to gain readability: 1.- The word "theme" is used several times over the text (in the title, for instance, in the abstract) and I think "issue" would be more adequate. 2.- The abbreviation BMG is shown in the Abstract, but its meaning should be given. 3.- The first paragraph of the introduction is very similar to the abstract. Could it be somehow re-written? 3.- Hyphenation is missing in expressions like "diabetes-specific" or "self-sufficient" and some more of that sort all over the text. 4.- Figure 1 is somewhat confusing, and is not clear what it means to suggest. Why is central to diabetes? The title of the figure "Case study: interconnecting psychological themes" could apply to diabetes, or Crohn's disease or any other pathology. 5. The abbreviations of the therapies shown on the last part of the manuscript should be given in parenthesis: (CBT), (ACT), (CAT)

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 15633

Title: (Revised) Psychological themes that influence self-mangement of Type 1 diabetes

Reviewer's code: 00504156

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-12-02 15:49

Date reviewed: 2014-12-27 04:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is suitable for publication