

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26137

Title: Update on the treatment of type2 diabetes mellitus

Reviewer's code: 02623960

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-03-30 16:53

Date reviewed: 2016-04-08 13:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

As per AACE 2016, add points on sleep in lifestyle modification Algorithm on CKD in diabetes with increased font size Thorough revision on language. Many sentences starting as " Is used as" need to be rewritten Spelling mistakes need to be corrected Put references for all tables and figures

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26137

Title: Update on the treatment of type2 diabetes mellitus

Reviewer's code: 00051227

Reviewer's country: Poland

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-03-30 16:53

Date reviewed: 2016-04-13 13:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is timely review, on interesting topic relating mainly to pharmacological treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Some information about alternative treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (like the influence of bariatric surgery on type 2 diabetes mellitus in obese patients) has been also mentioned. The article may be very useful for clinicians. However, the major problem of this manuscript is that: a) it is very long and contains some information already presented in textbooks. The article should be significantly shortened and more focused. b) there are several errors in the text. For instance : proteinkinase ; liverkinase: adenylcyclase (page 17); band (bind ?) c) some statements are not precisely written: for instance: What does mean "(...)Human GLP-1 is an intake (...)" (page 40) d) some abbreviations are missing, some abbreviations hast to be corrected (for instance: authors should use: Hb A1c , but not HbA1C, HbA1c, or A1C -page 24) e) Figure-1. In general , description is not clear. Figure. 2. Should be omitted. ?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26137

Title: Update on the treatment of type2 diabetes mellitus

Reviewer's code: 01198134

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-03-30 16:53

Date reviewed: 2016-04-21 15:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In my assessment, the paper is well written and can be accepted as is.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26137

Title: Update on the treatment of type2 diabetes mellitus

Reviewer's code: 00674619

Reviewer's country: Romania

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-03-30 16:53

Date reviewed: 2016-04-25 23:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dr. Juan José Marín-Peñalver and his collaborators present an update on the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. The subject developed in this manuscript is very interesting and of potential interest for a wide readership regarding the management of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The patients learn from here that the interventions in the life style are essentials to get the best results with therapeutic treatments so beautifully presented in this manuscript. Also, this is an interesting and general well assembled paper. The manuscript is very well organized, wrote and the data are well and clear presented. Moreover, the present topic appears timely. I would recommend authors to correct some errors in spelling by a careful reading of the manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26137

Title: Update on the treatment of type2 diabetes mellitus

Reviewer's code: 00506298

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-03-30 16:53

Date reviewed: 2016-04-23 19:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review by Martin-Pe?alva and co-workers aimed to update current pharmacological management of type 2 diabetes people, and to highlight the benefits and limitations of different drugs. This paper is a very extensive review with undoubted interest from a clinical practice point of view, however, also have important limitations: 1. This manuscript fits better with a monograph that with a regular article in any scientific journal 2. Although the work focuses on type 2 diabetes has several paragraphs in talking about type 1 diabetes, pages 11-14, 52-53. 3. Lack information on Sodium glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors, for instance, diabetes ketoacidosis 4. The parts on special situations, such as kidney diseases and elder people, might be matter of other manuscripts.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26137

Title: Update on the treatment of type2 diabetes mellitus

Reviewer's code: 00506250

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-03-30 16:53

Date reviewed: 2016-05-01 22:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article is clearly and very in detail written for diabetes treatment. I had only a few minor concerns. 1. (Very) brief comments on colesevelam (one of bile acid sequestrants), bromocriptine and amylin (injection) may be added. 2. In future in the treatment of diabetes section, if possible, please add (very) brief comments on GPR 119 agonists, oral type GLP-1 agonists (i.e., oral type semaglutide), oral insulin such as NN1438 and NN1436, and sotagliflozin (SGLT1/2 dual inhibitor).

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26137

Title: Update on the treatment of type2 diabetes mellitus

Reviewer's code: 02446593

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-03-30 16:53

Date reviewed: 2016-05-07 04:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Gomez et al have written a very comprehensive and very good summary of the current state of knowledge about the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Unfortunately, English is not their first language and there are many grammatical errors and unclear sentences as a result of that. One revision by someone with good English language skills is all that is needed before this can be published. The review itself is excellent.