

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 21678

Title: From bariatric to metabolic surgery: Looking for a “disease modifier” surgery for type 2 diabetes

Reviewer’s code: 01408945

Reviewer’s country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-30 16:40

Date reviewed: 2015-09-28 16:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript Number: 21678 Manuscript Title: From Bariatric to metabolic surgery: looking for a “disease modifier” surgery for type 2 diabetes. Corresponding Author: Dr. Renzo Cordera et al. The reviewer’s critiques are as follows. Major criticism: Nothing Minor criticism: 1. Abbreviation must be cited when they appeared at the first time. 2. The reference mark must be standardized. There are different marks in the text. 3. In the references section, Arabic numbers must be sited in front of each article. 4. In the references section, what dose under bar and blue font means?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 21678

Title: From bariatric to metabolic surgery: Looking for a “disease modifier” surgery for type 2 diabetes

Reviewer's code: 00074337

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-30 16:40

Date reviewed: 2015-10-12 03:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting review summarising the role of bariatric/metabolic surgery on type 2 DM. The reader would benefit from some objective summary of the highest level evidence relating to each technique and BMI class, perhaps by addition of a table. Similarly it would be useful to see a figure which summarises the metabolic changes following different interventions, both those proven through clinical data and theories outlined in the paper. The conclusion would benefit from a discussion surrounding novel methods such as endoluminal procedures and also an elaboration on the economic evidence relating to metabolic surgery. The paper also needs a grammatical review as it contains a number of errors.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 21678

Title: From bariatric to metabolic surgery: Looking for a “disease modifier” surgery for type 2 diabetes

Reviewer’s code: 02459030

Reviewer’s country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-30 16:40

Date reviewed: 2015-10-12 23:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your contribution. I looked through the paper and I personally have some suggestions: First, the topic is a novel point of penetration on evaluating bariatric/metabolic surgery. The paper lists several typical operation methods, such as LAGB, RYGBP, SG, DBP, and classifies them by the changes of glucose and lipid metabolism. But, the idea is arbitrary that because of poorly controlled dyslipidemia or diabetes and decreased food intake resulted in weight loss, LAGB cannot be considered as a metabolic procedure. Something in fat involves metabolism, and weak is not equal to no effect. And your manuscript needs carefully checking to avoid spelling mistakes or inaccurate details, such as “HBA1c” (page5, line22), “at 5 ore 10 years” (page5, line25), “and indicate s that” (page7, line9), “RYGPP” (page7, line20), “metanalysis” (page8, line15), “type f surgical procedure” (page8, line13) and “cold act as” (page8, line21). And there are two “metabolic surgery” in the first sentence of the last paragraph. In addition, references of last 5 years are more than half, but a review is generally not be referred to another review. I think that the improvement of the paper along these directions is necessary. I would be glad to re-review the paper in greater depth.