



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 27535

Title: Double diabetes in Saudi Arabia: A new entity or an underestimated condition

Reviewer's code: 02526196

Reviewer's country: Denmark

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-06-06 09:25

Date reviewed: 2016-06-12 20:28

Table with 4 columns: CLASSIFICATION, LANGUAGE EVALUATION, SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT, CONCLUSION. It contains checkboxes for various evaluation criteria like Grade A, B, C, D, E, and plagiarism checks.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Peer review for World Journal of Diabetes Ms: ESPS Manuscript NO 27535 Authors: Rim Braham , Aus Alzaid , Asirvatham Alwin Robert , Mohammad Mujammami , Rania Ahmad Ahmad , Monther Zitouni , Samia Hasan Sobki , Mohamed Abdulaziz Al Dawish . Title: Double diabetes in Saudi Arabia: a new entity or an underestimated condition GENERAL COMMENTS: The concept of double diabetes "DD" is a combination of type 1 diabetes with features of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. In this study 312 young newly diagnosed diabetic patients were divided into four groups by using autoantibody response (Ab+ or Ab-) and C-peptide secretion (β+ and β-). DD was defined as Ab+ β+ and occupied 31% in all patients studied. This study provides the prevalence, clinical and biological features of DD in Saudi Arabia. Therefore, the manuscript is good for the readership of WJD. SPECIFIC COMMENTS: 1, In fact Table 2 includes all information of Table 1, is it necessary to include Table 1 in Result section? 2, Table 2 list all information for four different groups of patients including DD patients. Author may need to compare these information between DD patients group with other groups. Any differences are existed? 3, The format of manuscript is need to modify according to the request of WJD. The Core tip is missing, please add.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

CLASSIFICATION OF THE MANUSCRIPT grades B. LANGUAGE EVALUATION Grade B
Conclusion of review: Minor revision



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 27535

Title: Double diabetes in Saudi Arabia: A new entity or an underestimated condition

Reviewer's code: 02446609

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-06-06 09:25

Date reviewed: 2016-06-13 00:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

However, no study, to our knowledge, is currently available on the prevalence of DD in Saudi Arabia.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 27535

Title: Double diabetes in Saudi Arabia: A new entity or an underestimated condition

Reviewer's code: 02446593

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-06-06 09:25

Date reviewed: 2016-06-22 05:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Braham et al report the findings of a retrospective chart review of all young diabetics (age 12-20) seen at a major Saudi medical facility between 2009 and 2013. They find a high incidence of "double diabetes" and highlight the importance of this finding. The review is of interest to diabetologists as well as general practitioners and is the first such review from a Saudi population, but it needs some rewriting before it can be published. The introduction should include a longer discussion of the types of diabetes and the confusing category of "double diabetes" stressing that there are no uniform criteria for this label and this category is likely heterogeneous, consisting of type 1 diabetics with insulin resistance as well as type 2 diabetics who have evidence of auto-immunity. Mention should also be made of the accelerator hypothesis. The study design and presentation of data are sound and the figures and tables are appropriate, but there should be some more information about the source population. What patients are typically seen in this hospital and what is their catchment area? How representative is their population of the general Saudi population? What is meant by "family history of auto-immune disease"? What diseases were asked about and how distant are the relationships included in this analysis (first, second or third degree relatives? or any relative reported to have



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

auto-immune disorders?) Similarly, in the discussion section there should be a longer discussion of the heterogeneous nature of this diagnosis, of the possible inadequacies of data collection, of whether the population is representative of the Saudi population or not. After these corrections, the paper is worth publishing.