



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29478

**Title:** Diabetic ketoacidosis: Treatment in the intensive care unit or general medical/surgical ward?

**Reviewer's code:** 00503182

**Reviewer's country:** Egypt

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-16 18:39

**Date reviewed:** 2016-08-23 18:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

None



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes
ESPS manuscript NO: 29478
Title: Diabetic ketoacidosis: Treatment in the intensive care unit or general medical/surgical ward?
Reviewer's code: 02584466
Reviewer's country: United States
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2016-08-16 18:39
Date reviewed: 2016-09-02 00:42

Table with 4 columns: CLASSIFICATION, LANGUAGE EVALUATION, SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT, CONCLUSION. It contains checkboxes for various review criteria like 'Grade A: Excellent', 'Priority publishing', 'Google Search', etc.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This editorial provides a cogent argument for treating DKA in Emergency Departments or General Medical Wards rather than ICUs. I have one suggestion: To make the message of this report balanced, provide a list of conditions that would require admission of patients with DKA in the ICU. Two minor points are that profound hyperglycemia is not always a feature of DKA (Abstract) and reference 22 is incomplete. There are several passages needing changes in their language: I will list them below: -Page 5, line 8 from bottom: "...or that has the presence of severe infection." the simplest way to correct this passage is by changing it to "...or who present with severe infection." -Page 6, The title "Is Diabetic ketoacidosis a Criteria for Intensive Care Unit Admission?" should be changed to "Is Diabetic Ketoacidosis a Criterion for Intensive Care Unit Admission?" -Page 7, line 7 from top, insert a verb (e.g., concluded or showed) between "...utilization groups, and" and "that the overuse..." -Page 7, line 8 from top, change "increments" to "increases" -Page 7, line 2 from bottom, change "...patients that are managed..." to "...patients who are managed..." -Page 7, bottom line, change "...while using of..." to "...with..."



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29478

**Title:** Diabetic ketoacidosis: Treatment in the intensive care unit or general medical/surgical ward?

**Reviewer's code:** 00503482

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-16 18:39

**Date reviewed:** 2016-09-20 14:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please correct: Conclusions ..... mold-to-moderate DKA. as: Conclusions ..... mild-to-moderate DKA.