

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 28355

Title: Type 2 diabetes and quality of life

Reviewer's code: 00227350

Reviewer's country: Trinidad and Tobago

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-07-01 17:35

Date reviewed: 2016-07-14 09:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Some of the statements made in abstract and introduction looks same. Revise the statements

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 28355

Title: Type 2 diabetes and quality of life

Reviewer's code: 00227633

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-07-01 17:35

Date reviewed: 2016-07-15 19:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would recommend the following: Abstract should be more quantitative as possible, in order to favour the interest of the paper and for rapid comparison with other papers. For instance: a) referring to the number of the papers published in the different areas of impact and therefore demonstrating that some areas like the one associated with dementia or/and sexual dysfunction and with smaller number of papers but they are growing. Nevertheless, it is missing the coronary arterial disease not related with risk factors (genetic, the weight of bird, or others). If the authors, feels fair please include these in the paper. Please, also avoid no quantitative or scientific terms like tsunami...to described and quantify a biological phenomena....and as possible and wherever adequate use absolute values (even for HDL or LDL, or biochemical parameters to ascertain for specific pathologic situations (and we know that WHO change it every decade, or less), for rapid comparison with others studies and reviews. Therefore I might suggested that at the introduction the authors include reference to the number of papers in the field for instance in the last 5 years in order to demonstrate the difference of papers at the different topics of research that the authors described in this very nice work around the world and also in order to show the areas with less papers but with nevertheless of growing interest.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

The references regarding the coexistence of depression and diabetes has drawn researcher's attention are good but could be refresh in terms of actuality. In the last 5 years many studies have been performed with metabolic control and diabetes in many other processes and complications, such as using certain immunossuppressors and also certain type of antidepressives drugs (see for instance, MJ Pereira, J Palming, et al ...Molecular and cellular endocrinology 355 (1), 96-105) were demonstrated to induce metabolism dysfunction associated with diabetes. The authors should also referred to similar and others studies from the last 2 or 3 years, in order to improve the paper and present it properly with recent data (even from 2015 and 2016), for instance from authors such as: Jan Erikson, Ulf Smith, etc, also showing a biochemical angle of these phenomena by studying and using human adipocytes and the effects of these drugs on the onset of diabetes. Therefore, it would turn this review more sound and solid if the authors would increase the percentage of references from the last 2-3 years, even from 2016, at least 20% of the total references, and not only about 5%.