

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 31972

Title: PTPN22 and islet-specific autoimmunity: What have the mouse models taught us?

Reviewer's code: 02569353

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-12-26

Date reviewed: 2016-12-28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is interesting and deserves publication. The effect of PTPN22 is dependent on other genetic variables: this is an important notion emerging from this review.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 31972

Title: PTPN22 and islet-specific autoimmunity: What have the mouse models taught us?

Reviewer's code: 02949129

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-01-17

Date reviewed: 2017-01-22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor comments: - Pag 3 line 50-52: the Authors' statement is not correct. They could rather say something like: "Our aim is to summarize the current knowledge on PTPN22, as raising from mouse model studies, and to highlight the unmet research needs on its role in autoimmunity" - Pag 3 line 42: "led to" instead of "led in"? - Pag 3 line 43-44: add "that" before "these"? - Pag 4 line 86: Editing of the citation in the text "Viisanen et al, Diabetes, 2016" - Table 1: "reference" instead of "citation".