

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 64153

Title: A Review of Minimally Invasive Pancreas Surgery and Opinion on its

Incorporation into Low Volume and Resource Poor Centres

Reviewer's code: 03259215 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-09 21:58

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-09 22:20

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for submitting the article. It reviews laparoscopy in pancreatic surgery. Generally pancreatic surgery should be performed at high volume centers with high volume surgeons. There is description of multiple surgical modalities in the paper.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 64153

Title: A Review of Minimally Invasive Pancreas Surgery and Opinion on its

Incorporation into Low Volume and Resource Poor Centres

Reviewer's code: 05060505 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-10 01:20

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-13 02:06

**Review time:** 3 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No



https://www.wjgnet.com

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors tackle an interesting question in this paper. Patients in developed contries have a high frequency to accept laparoscopic pancreatic surgery in a small cadre of institutions. Conversely, the chance for patients in developing contries is low due to the low-income, resource-poor condition. In fact, only 3.25 laparoscopic distal pancreatectomies are performed per annum across the entire Caribbean. In this paper, the authors explore the obstacles to incorporating a minimally invasive service for pancreatic surgery.



### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 64153

Title: A Review of Minimally Invasive Pancreas Surgery and Opinion on its

Incorporation into Low Volume and Resource Poor Centres

Reviewer's code: 05060505 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-19 08:31

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-19 08:47

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No



It could be accepted at this form.