

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 67227

Title: Surgical Ampullectomy: A Comprehensive Review

Reviewer's code: 00505440 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Senior Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-20 08:30

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-20 23:22

Review time: 14 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice narrative review on a less commonly discussed topic. The authors draw on their personal experience to help inform—the reader. My only suggestion to the authors is if they would consider tabulating some of the data in the section on Indications and Clinical Outcomes as currently it makes for heavy reading. The tables will help the reader appreciate the differences in the study and also reduce the amount that needs to be written in the manuscript. Overall, a comprehensive review - as promised by the authors in the abstract.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 67227

Title: Surgical Ampullectomy: A Comprehensive Review

Reviewer's code: 05913806 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Israel

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-19 05:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-07 02:03

Review time: 17 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the suggested manuscript (surgical ampullectomy; a comprehensive review) explores the role of surgical ampullectomy for the management of benign as well as malignant diseases of the ampulla, especially in t a new era which includes new endoscopic techniques. the title reflect the main subject of the manuscript and the abstract is well written annd summarizes the manuscript. the key words do reflect the focus on the manuscript. the background, pre-operative evaluation, indications, surgical techniques and clinical outcomes are well written as well. The author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting minor revisions are suggested: 1- in the first paragraph of the introduction section, second line- "only 7% of cancers in the region"- better to say 7% of peri-ampullary tumors. 2- morbidity following endoscopic pappilectomy (EP) occured in 18.9%, including haemorrhage, pappilary stenosis and others. what was the most common complication? 3- EP as a suggested treatment for carefully selected early ampullary cancer was controversial by some authors which adviocates PD for all ampullary cancers- what is the reason for such advocation? is it duo to lymph node involvement? 4- endoscopy using a side-viewing endoscope is a technique used for visualization of the ampulla and for taking biopsieswhat is the sensitivity and specificty for such technique in regard to ampullary lesion? 5in the indication section, paragraph about FAP- "patients"- "patients". 6- please provide the classification table developed by Spigelman. 7- what were the adbvantages and disadvantages of the suggested surgical techniques.