

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 78251

Title: Drain fluid biomarkers for prediction and diagnosis of clinically relevant

postoperative pancreatic fistula: A narrative review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06152612 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-05 00:39

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-15 02:51

**Review time:** 10 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is interesting and largely well written I think the interest presently is to predict the CRPOF pre-operatively and this should be discussed in future trends. This includes imaging, elastography etc which should be briefly mentioned in future directions



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 78251

Title: Drain fluid biomarkers for prediction and diagnosis of clinically relevant

postoperative pancreatic fistula: A narrative review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05226098 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-16 09:19

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-16 09:38

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please discuss whether drain biomarkers are associated with tumor size and duration of surgery.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 78251

Title: Drain fluid biomarkers for prediction and diagnosis of clinically relevant

postoperative pancreatic fistula: A narrative review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05469117 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Chief Physician, Deputy Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-18 18:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-27 14:26

**Review time:** 8 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for inviting me to evaluate the review titled "Drain fluid biomarkers for prediction and diagnosis of clinically relevant postoperative pancreatic fistula: A Narrative Review". This review demonstrates the potential for drain fluid biomarkers to overcome the limitations of the current diagnostic definition of clinically relevant postoperative pancreatic fistula (CR-POPF), for example, drain amylase, drain lipase and so on. The paper is well arranged and the logic is clear, and. The cited literature is comprehensive and modern The quality of language of the manuscript is acceptable for me. So, I givev a recommendation of conditional acceptance. There are some advices for author: 1) Does it briefly describe the main diagnostic techniques for postoperative pancreatic fistula (CR-POPF), and whether these techniques, in combination with biomarkers of CR-POPF, can be further advanced and easier to detect postoperative pancreatic fistula (CR-POPF), such as blood markers, is there a comparison with drainage? 2) What is the best day to test for amylase after surgery? What is the effective cutoff value? Want to know the author's point of view, rather than let the reader guess. Or rather, where is the innovation in this article, rather than the data that illustrates the difficulty of the problem? 3) Table 1 shows that much of the literature data comes from abstracts. Is this appropriate?



### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 78251

Title: Drain fluid biomarkers for prediction and diagnosis of clinically relevant

postoperative pancreatic fistula: A narrative review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05469117 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Chief Physician, Deputy Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-20 15:10

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-20 16:22

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for inviting me to re- review "Current Perspectives on the Liver Transplantation for Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis". It is an interesting paper, andI can accept the author's answer to the question. So, I recommend to you that this manuscript may be accepted.