

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 78757

Title: Assessment of tumor markers C 9-9, CEA, CA 125, CA 242 for early diagnosis and predicting prognosis of gallbladder cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05207119

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Editor, Doctor, Research Fellow, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-20 03:10

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-20 07:01

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present study suggested that serum levels of tumor markers including CA19-9, CA125, CEA and CA242 were significantly associated with GBC. However, no significant association was observed between the presence of elevated levels of any marker and survival. This is an observational study, but the novelty may not be very high, because the role of each molecular indicator in the disease has been reported. I also have some opinions: 1. I suggest the author add DSS, DFI, PFS of patients. 2. The language needs some correction. 3. Limitations also require further discussion. 4. The discussion section should also be expanded to comprehensively evaluate current research progress.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 78757

Title: Assessment of tumor markers C 9-9, CEA, CA 125, CA 242 for early diagnosis and predicting prognosis of gallbladder cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03270754

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PharmD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-20 01:31

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-22 05:15

Review time: 2 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The manuscript focus on Assessment of tumor markers CA19-9, CEA, CA 125, CA 242 for early diagnosis and predicting prognosis of gallbladder cancer, after checked the references in Pubmed, many researches focus on gallbladder cancer and CEA, or CA125, but no research on the 4 markers together. 2. Among the 4 markers which was most important with gallbladder cancer ? 3. Any marker was no relation with the survival looks strange? Can you analysis combined of 2 or 3 Or 4 markers expressions with the survival? 4. The Figures need perfect. 5. The English need much more polish.