

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 77923

Title: Minimally invasive endoscopic repair of rectovaginal fistula

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02573214 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Full Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-28 13:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-05 18:30

Review time: 8 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript examines the minimal invasive endoscopic repair for rectovaginal fistula. The extensive analysis of the literature allows us to summarize the current status of the art. The work was carried out with methodological rigor.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 77923

Title: Minimally invasive endoscopic repair of rectovaginal fistula

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06059740 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-30 23:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-11 13:57

Review time: 11 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors demonstrated "Minimal Invasive Endoscopic Repair for Rectovaginal Fistula" as review. This is a well written manuscript and provides the important information for the reader of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery; however, I would like to suggest some revisions to authors as described below. 1) Tables are uncoordinated and hard to read. Can be integrated Table 1 and Table 2? Moreover, can be integrated Table 3 and Table 4? 2) I think authors had better discuss the indication of endoscopic repair. Should the procedure be indicated only in cases with small fistula or specific etiology? 3) In ref. 48, over-the-scope clip (OTSC) was not adapted for endoscopic treatment. The reported procedure consisted of endoscopic cautery and conventional clip closure. 4) Some spelling mistakes, such as "mental stent" and "patienys" are found. (P7 L16, P7 L18, Figure 2)