

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 84386

Title: Robotic natural orifice specimen extraction surgery I-type F method versus

conventional robotic resection for lower rectal cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05223442 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, MSc

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Lecturer, Senior Researcher, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Liberia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-11

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-14 16:36

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-14 17:04

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Was the follow-up period sufficient to assess the long-term outcomes of R-NOSES I-F, such as local recurrence and distant metastasis rates? Did the authors discuss any potential complications or disadvantages associated with R-NOSES I-F that were not mentioned in the results? How reliable and valid were the outcome measures used in assessing pain (visual analog score), postoperative anal venting time, postoperative complications, inflammatory response, and functional outcomes? confounding factors or variables that were not adequately addressed in the analysis?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 84386

Title: Robotic natural orifice specimen extraction surgery I-type F method versus

conventional robotic resection for lower rectal cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06142774 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-11

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-27 02:43

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-05 06:51

Review time: 9 Days and 4 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing []
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)
	[] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper study Robotic resection of lower rectal cancer with natural orifice specimen extraction surgery I-type F method (R-NOSES I-F), and explore the safety and feasibility. It has high practical value and medical significance. It is recommended that the author discuss the limitations and shortcomings of the used methods in this paper in order to improve them in the future.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 84386

Title: Robotic natural orifice specimen extraction surgery I-type F method versus

conventional robotic resection for lower rectal cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04028454 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-11

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-23 11:58

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-08 22:27

Review time: 46 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To publish in an English journal, still requires some grammar/language/syntax editing.