

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 82515

Title: Tumor budding in gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04638620

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Hungary

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-24 11:19

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-27 01:34

Review time: 2 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish	
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection	
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection	
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No	
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous	



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent summary of our current understanding on the mechanisms of tumor budding and its role in the prognostic and predictive diagnostics of gastric cancer. Just some minor comments: 1. Page 7: It is not indicated what CSC stands for. Please make sure that the first time an abbreviation appears in the text, the full term is also displayed. 2. Page 12: "In addition, in those low TB activity and high inflammation regions, cancer cells exhibited high microsatellite instability (MSI) [13]." In reference 13, the MSI status of the tumor cells of the invasion front was not assessed separately, only the MSI status of the whole tumor. The MSI status of the invasion front cells is otherwise typically not different from the MSI status of the rest of the tumor. Please, change this sentence accordingly. For example: "MSI high (MSI-H) tumors are often characterized by a pushing border type invasion front, no or low TB and a strong peritumoral inflammatory infiltrate [13]." 3. Page 19: "In early GC, modified TB was more predictive of lymph node involvement than conventional TB, while SRC-matched TB showed a greater tendency toward groups without lymph node metastasis [32]. " The second part of the sentence is difficult to understand.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 82515

Title: Tumor budding in gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06468657

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-26 14:31

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-08 11:27

Review time: 12 Days and 20 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a pleasure to be invited to review this manuscript. Although Dear Editor, the authors write with the expectation of improving the prognosis of GC patients through TB prediction, both in terms of preoperative pathology, preoperative, postoperative treatment, etc., although it has been successful in colorectal cancer. However, I found the manuscript to be of average writing quality, with limited significance of the findings cited in the text, less guidance on the current realization of clinical significance, and the English language could be improved. I therefore consider that the manuscript cannot be published. Thank you for your invitation! Best regards Yours sincerely.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 82515

Title: Tumor budding in gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03816658

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Tunisia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-21

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-17 07:31

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-21 09:50

Review time: 4 Days and 2 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No comments.