

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 80976

Title: The Influence of Liver Function after Laparoscopy-Assisted Versus Totally

Laparoscopic Gastrectomy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05040484 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-13

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-19 06:14

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-19 09:32

**Review time:** 3 Hours

|                             | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:                          |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality          | Good  |
|                             | [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish                                       |
| Novelty of this manuscript  | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair                          |
| this manuscript             | [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation  |



| Scientific significance of the | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair         |
|--------------------------------|--|
| conclusion in this manuscript  | [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance                            |
| Language quality               | [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language       |
|                                | polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ]      |
|                                | Grade D: Rejection   |
| Conclusion                     | [ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)           |
|                                | [ ] Minor revision [ <mark>Y</mark> ] Major revision [ ] Rejection |
| Re-review                      | [Y]Yes [ ]No   |
| Peer-reviewer statements       | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous                             |
|                                | Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No                              |

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study, but I have a few comments: 1) in the abstract, it is necessary to spell out all abbreviations at the first mention; 2) the chapter Results should be literary revised for better understanding; 3) In the Discussion chapter, a discussion of the strengths of your study and its limitations should be added



### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 80976

Title: The Influence of Liver Function after Laparoscopy-Assisted Versus Totally

Laparoscopic Gastrectomy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03270518 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Professor, Surgeon, Teacher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-13

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-13 17:13

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-25 19:06

**Review time:** 12 Days and 1 Hour

| Scientific quality                          | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish |
|---|--|
| Novelty of this manuscript                  | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty                             |
| Creativity or innovation of this manuscript | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation           |



https://www.wjgnet.com

| Scientific significance of the | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| conclusion in this manuscript  | [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance                       |
| Language quality               | [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language  |
|                                | polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] |
|                                | Grade D: Rejection  |
| Conclusion                     | [ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)      |
|                                | [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection             |
| Re-review                      | [ ]Yes [Y]No  |
| Peer-reviewer statements       | Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous                        |
|                                | Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No                        |

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Even if the inclusion and exclusion criteria are specified, how did we arrive at a perfectly equal number of the two study groups? Specify well any limitations of the work identified by the authors.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 80976

Title: Influence of liver function after laparoscopy-assisted vs totally laparoscopic

gastrectomy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05040484 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-13

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-13 09:58

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-13 10:11

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good<br>[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish                               |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality   | [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion         | [ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection                                   |
| Peer-reviewer      | Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  |



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No comments