

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 82214

Title: Giant teratoma with isolated intestinal duplication in adult: A case report and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03982286

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: N/A

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-10 06:31

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-10 06:31

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors show the case of giant teratoma with isolated intestinal duplication in adult. The case presentation is so interesting, however, I have some concerns to disucuss. -What is the novelty of this case? -What are the blood test findings? -What is the clinical relevance? -The clinical manifestations of intestinal malformations are diverse and difficult to diagnose preoperatively. What should we do? What should we do? What are the countermeasures?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 82214

Title: Giant teratoma with isolated intestinal duplication in adult: A case report and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06456057

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Chile

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-24 01:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-25 17:24

Review time: 1 Day and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript aims to report a rare case of a giant teratoma with intestinal duplication, which has not been reported previously in the scientific literature. The topic is relevant and the manuscript rigorous, but there still exists some space for text improvement. The third sentence of first paragraph and the second sentence of second paragraph of the DISCUSSION section should have a reference. In addition, I suggest adding more paragraphs including future directions in clinical practice challenges of the surgical treatment of this case.