

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 83536

Title: Ability of lactulose breath test results to accurately identify colorectal polyps

through the measurement of small intestine bacterial overgrowth

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00058511 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Full Professor, Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-19

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-08 00:57

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-08 08:02

Review time: 7 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations. It is a well-designed research work and well writing paper dealing with an interesting common issue of clinical practice.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 83536

Title: Ability of lactulose breath test results to accurately identify colorectal polyps

through the measurement of small intestine bacterial overgrowth

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05116713 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-19

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-14 09:07

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-14 09:13

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality Good
[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fa [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fa
this manuscript [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is fine as it goes, although some of the conclusions about polyp incidence are already well known. I can point out that colonoscopy is in fact used as a mass screening test in the USA, but I agree other countries may do things differently. The paper is satisfactory for publication.