



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 85750

Title: Indocyanine green dye and its application in gastrointestinal surgery: The future is bright green

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03816788

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, FRCS, MBBS, MS

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-02 17:34

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-15 17:12

Review time: 12 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have done a nice and comprehensive work on the review of ICG applications in surgery. ICG has myriad of applications. The language and grammar appears adequate. There are few suggestions to further improve the manuscript: 1. ICG visualization needs special Apparatus / equipment which adds to cost or not available everywhere. This can be discussed. 2. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics along with ICG Toxicity can be mentioned in brief. 3. Biliary surgery- Bilio-enterostomy - Check about any studies for it ? 4. Must mention Current status in urologic surgery 5. It has role in skin flaps too which needs to be discussed. 6. Majority studies happened in Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and Colo-rectal surgeries. Their discussion can be expanded based on existing literature.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 85750

Title: Indocyanine green dye and its application in gastrointestinal surgery: The future is bright green

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03665440

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-14

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-23 03:03

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-01 12:28

Review time: 8 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There is an increasing body of literature on this topic and much effort has been devoted to evaluating the efficacy of the clinical use of ICG in the surgical settings. This review article seems informative and educational. Nonetheless, several potentially important issues would need to be addressed. #1 (p.11, Biliary Mapping During Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy) The term “CBD injury” is not appropriate. Instead, “Bile duct injury” should be used. The common and right hepatic ducts are also susceptible to injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. #2 (p.11, Biliary Mapping During Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy) Is the ICG cholangiography superior to conventional intraoperative cholangiography? If so, what is the advantage of the ICG method? #3 (p.19, Lymphatic mapping) The lymphatic mapping has been attempted to identify the main feeding vessels and lymphatics for appropriate lymph node dissection in colon cancer surgery as well as to find the sentinel lymph nodes to minimize the resection area. These two concepts of the procedure should be discriminated and the evidence should be distinctively described. #4 (p.20, Ureteral visualization) Is there any evidence for using ICG-coated ureteral catheter to visualize the ureter intraoperatively? #5 (p.20,



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Identification of Peritoneal Metastasis) The authors say “Peritoneal metastases occur in 30-40% of colorectal cancer patients”. Is the proportion of the peritoneal metastasis really so high?