

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 85065

Title: Application of multidisciplinary collaborative nursing with family care for

enhanced recovery after surgery in children with inguinal hernia

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06135151 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Associate

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Denmark

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-11 00:46

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-21 01:52

**Review time:** 10 Days and 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ERAS is a new concept and has many advantages compared with traditional perioperative management. Multidisciplinary collaborative mode refers to the clinical treatment/nursing mode developed by professionals from multiple disciplines for a certain disease through discussion. Family care refers to treating children as relatives in the nursing process and providing comprehensive, considerate and safe nursing services, which is the embodiment of humanized nursing mode. Some studies indicate that multidisciplinary collaborative ERAS nursing has been widely used in clinical practice, with significant results. Also, family care has also been widely used in the care of pediatric diseases. Therefore, the purpose of this present study was to explore the effect of multidisciplinary collaborative ERAS combined with family care nursing in the perioperative care of children with inguinal hernia. The current study included 100 children patients with inguinal hernia, and they were divided into three groups according to different nursing methods. And they concluded that the application of multidisciplinary collaborative ERAS nursing combined with family care in perioperative nursing of children with inguinal hernia can effectively improve their



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

postoperative recovery. Their analysis helped in therapy for children with inguinal hernia. The methods of data analysis are very clear, and the results are presented well. The manuscript is written clearly and it's in acceptable form without any major changes.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 85065

Title: Application of multidisciplinary collaborative nursing with family care for

enhanced recovery after surgery in children with inguinal hernia

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06078826 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-14 23:36

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-22 00:36

**Review time:** 7 Days and 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



# Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, thank you for submitting your paper to the WJGS. Your study is a well-written, good structured recommendation for the perioperative nursing of children with inguinal hernia. The recommendations are good for clinical use. Thank you for a useful and important synopsis of this important topic. Finally, you also explained the limitations of the article. I recommend accepting this manuscript for publication after a minor editing. 1) The Abstract of the paper is too long, leading to poor reading experience, which should be simplified. For example, it is not necessary to list all text data, just select the most important result list. 2) The discussion concludes with an emphasis on the clinical utility and potential limitations of the study. In addition, directions for future research can also be discussed. 3) Editing and proofreading are needed to maintain the best sense of reading.