

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 85528

Title: Short- and long-term results of open vs laparoscopic multisegmental resection and

anastomosis for synchronous colorectal cancer located in separate segments

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04966996 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-03

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-25 14:56

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-25 15:52

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors analyze in an interesting manuscript the short-term surgical results and long-term prognosis (5-year OS and DFS) of multisegmental resection and anastomosis for synchronous colorectal adenocarcinomas performed by standar open procedures vs laparoscopic procedures. Some cuestions rise after reading the text. - The study period is too long (2010-2021). It is possible that different surgeons performed the operations and that the clinical protocols were modified during this period. Authors should explain if this fact may have influenced at any extent. When was laparoscopy available at those hospitals?. Did they follow any postoperative ERAS protocol, and when it was implemented? Did postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy was administered?. - The authors should discuss the benefict of performing multisegmental resections vs total or subtotal colectomies - The authors should be more cautious when they conclude that "LMRA has more advantages than OMRA in terms of short-term efficacy, and can achieve the similar long-term oncological results as OMRA". The number of patients included in the analysis is too reduced and the differences found were not statistically significantives. They state this clearly in the discussion and



conclusions.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 85528

Title: Short- and long-term results of open vs laparoscopic multisegmental resection and

anastomosis for synchronous colorectal cancer located in separate segments

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03537089 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Editor, Doctor, Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-03

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-10 09:21

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-11 16:35

Review time: 1 Day and 7 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Where is list of abbreviations? Abstract is good but needs some correction as shown Introduction is good Materials and methods need some shortage, and I think the cases followed by phone will have defects. In statistical analysis; I think more updated versions of SPSS are available. Results are good Discussion needs some shortage, and correction as seen (blue underlined) Conclusion is good



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 85528

Title: Short- and long-term results of open vs laparoscopic multisegmental resection and

anastomosis for synchronous colorectal cancer located in separate segments

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04966996 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-03

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-30 11:36

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-30 11:45

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors had answered the queries correctly and they have introduced the pertinent modifications in the text. The text may be accepted for publiction