



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 88528

**Title:** Predicting short-term major postoperative complications in intestinal resection for Crohn’s disease: A machine learning-based study

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 05126185

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Author’s Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-09-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-12-20 04:13

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-27 01:24

**Review time:** 6 Days and 21 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I am really grateful to review this manuscript. In my opinion, this manuscript can be published once some revision is done successfully. I made one suggestion and I would like to ask your kind understanding. This study used numeric data from 259 patients, applied monogram and achieved the area under the curve of 91.6% for the prediction of short-term postoperative complication in intestinal resection for Crohn’s disease. This study presented monogram construction as well. I would argue that this is a good achievement. However, it can be noted that the random forest often outdoes monogram and random forest variable importance and Shapley Additive Explanations (SHAP) summary plot are very effective to identify the strength and direction of association between the complication and its major predictor. In this context, I would like to ask the authors to derive the random forest variable importance and SHAP summary plot.