

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 89569

**Title:** Link between mutations in ACVR and PL G4A genes and chronic intestinal ulcers: A case report and review of literature

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03011144

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** DNB, FACS, FICS, MBBS, MCh, MNAMS

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-11-06

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-11-28 05:14

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-11-28 06:17

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The title does not mention the use of a new intervention 2. With regard to the surgical procedure 7 yrs back - what was the exact site / size of the perforation? What was the procedure done? 3. Were any bloods done in the line of IBD - Antinuclear abs, etc? 4. How was IBD ruled out? Why was Azathioprine considered in the background of 'undiagnosed' IBD? 5. Were other causes for chronic ulcers such as immune disorders / Tuberculosis considered? 6. On what basis was the new oral medication (Kangfuxin liquid) used? 7. Any randomised trials are available in humans regarding the use of the new medication? 8. What were the other medications used in this pt ("Yunnan Baiyao for hemostasis")? 9. What is the dosage / duration of administartion of Kangfuxin liquid? How long is it planned to be given? 10. What are the study limitations?