



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 89495

Title: Prognostic value of a nomogram model for postoperative liver metastasis of colon cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07916869

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: France

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-22 01:28

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-02 09:08

Review time: 11 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Author constructed a novel nomogram model including a variety of factors to predict liver metastasis after colon cancer surgery. The results showed that SII, CAR, and RDW were risk factors for liver metastasis after colon cancer surgery (P<0.05). The AUC and its 95% CI were 0.93 (0.89-0.96) for the column-line diagram prediction model constructed on the basis of these three risk factors to distinguish whether liver metastasis occurred after colon cancer surgery. The prediction model established in this study based on these risk factors has good discriminatory power and a high calibrating ability for the occurrence of liver metastasis after radical resection of colon cancer. Thus, this model could help clinicians more intuitively identify high-risk patients prone to liver metastasis after surgery, and take targeted screening measures and formulate individualized medical. Overall, this article has certain clinical significance and is worthy of publication. However, I still have a question that needs to be answered, the author should explain the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the general data in detail in the method.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 89495

Title: Prognostic value of a nomogram model for postoperative liver metastasis of colon cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07916782

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-21 07:25

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-02 10:34

Review time: 12 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript identified SII, CAR, and RDW as risk factors affecting the occurrence of liver metastasis after colon cancer surgery. Further, they showed that the combination of these three factors could effectively predict the risk of liver metastasis, and their prediction model based on these three risk factors had a good predictive efficacy. Therefore, in clinical practice, SII, CAR, and RDW can not only be beneficial for the early screening of colon cancer, but can also predict the occurrence of liver metastasis after surgery in advance, which can help in obtaining the best time for treatment. The design of this study is reasonable, and the results obtained are helpful for clinical research. My suggestion is to enrich the figure legends to help readers better understand the results.