

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 91458

Title: Quality-adjusted life years and surgical waiting list. Systematic review of the literature.

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06463958

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-28 21:14

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-04 17:27

Review time: 6 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the manuscript "Quality-adjusted life years and surgical waiting list. Systematic review of the literature", the authors with the objectives of to know how many QALYs are lost by patients on Surgical Waiting Lists, and concludes that this relationship has only rarely been studied in the literature, and that the rate of QALYs lost on SWLs has not been determined. Waiting lists for surgeries are a worldwide problem, and the evaluation of patients in this condition needs more studies. So, this study's conclusion could be the beginning for exploration this relationship. The Objectives and Methods were positively described. The study was conducted in accordance with the PRISMA Statement and well documented according Table 1. PRISMA flow diagram, and Tables are clearly and well presented. However, observe the wording for Table 1 (is not Tabla). Abstract is adequate. Conclusions are clearly linked to the study objectives and results