

**ESPS Peer-review Report****Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 11945**Title:** Increased postoperative complications after protective ileostomy closure delay: an institutional study**Reviewer code:** 00742050**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-13 19:11**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-13 20:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Abstract is concise , topic is interesting , methods are appropriate , a well-structured discussion. Use of references is appropriate.Minor improvement of language is required.

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 11945

**Title:** Increased postoperative complications after protective ileostomy closure delay: an institutional study

**Reviewer code:** 00227409

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-13 19:11

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-16 17:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Figures 1 and 2 do not add significantly to the manuscript, a table showing uni and multivariate analysis of risk factors would be more appropriate.