



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 20161

Title: Bursectomy at radical gastrectomy

Reviewer's code: 02441722

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-06-01 10:46

Date reviewed: 2015-06-13 17:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Bursectomy at radical gastrectomy. REVIEW ARTICLE The authors indicated a review of the bursectomy at radical gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer. The value of bursectomy in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer is controversial. A large scale multicentric Phase III trial (JCOG 1001) is currently underway for macroscopically subserosa or serosa-positive gastric cancer in Japan. This manuscript is very interesting and well written. However, I feel there are some problems in this article. (1) In Figure 1, author should indicate the bursa omentalis using bold line. (2) In Figure 3, I think this figure is slightly different from original procedure. Author should change the typical figure according to the original procedure of the bursectomy.