



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 14676

Title: Successful emergency resection of a massive intra-abdominal hemophilic pseudotumor

Reviewer's code: 00646409

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-21 08:47

Date reviewed: 2014-11-30 09:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this report, the authors described a patient with a hemophilic intraabdominal pseudotumor. Although abdominal pseudotumors in hemophilia A are rare, there have been several relative reports in the past. In my opinion, current report is still worth publishing due to the bowel complication, septic shock and the required emergency resection. Followings are my comments/suggestions: 1. The informed consent is missing in this report. 2. In line 17 on page 2, "we" should be "He" 3. The age of the patient is not consistant in abstract and introduction. 4. The main text of the case report can be seperated into several paragraphs and the uniqueness of this case could be emphasized in this part.