

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 16951

Title: Laparoscopic Pancreatoduodenectomy: How far Have We Come And Where Are We Headed?

Reviewer's code: 00058440

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-05 20:00

Date reviewed: 2015-03-23 08:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minimally invasive pancreatoduodenectomy (PD) is currently a feasible option in selected patients at high volume centers with available expertise. There is currently no set training or credentialing process for laparoscopic or robotic PD and there is a need to formalize training with this approach to ensure appropriate patient selection and safety of the procedure. The authors update the reader about the highest level of evidence accumulated over the past few years. The authors also summarize key results in the minimally invasive setting, and they pointed out all the current evidence unfortunately comes from retrospective data with obvious selection bias. Rather, in a disease for which cure is difficult to achieve, perhaps the greatest value of minimally invasive approaches may be the improvement in the quality of life (QOL). The study is concise and informative and I suggest it may be acceptable without revision.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 16951

Title: Laparoscopic Pancreatoduodenectomy: How far Have We Come And Where Are We Headed?

Reviewer's code: 00185686

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-05 20:00

Date reviewed: 2015-02-21 06:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

i read with interest this review about laparoscopic pancreatoduodenectomy. this paper is clear and easy to read. the references seems to be exhaustive and well explain by the authors. i have only suggest some minor correction in the corrected proof joined with my comments.