

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19313

**Title:** Laying open (deroofting) and curettage under local anesthesia for pilonidal disease  
-An outpatient procedure

**Reviewer's code:** 03261947

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-05 19:54

**Date reviewed:** 2015-05-13 00:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study presented an novel procedure to treat simple & complicated Pilonidal disease. The data were full and accurate. But lack of control group made it less convincing.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19313

**Title:** Laying open (deroofting) and curettage under local anesthesia for pilonidal disease  
-An outpatient procedure

**Reviewer's code:** 00503955

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-05 19:54

**Date reviewed:** 2015-05-20 16:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a study on a modified approach to the surgical treatment of pilonidal disease. Although an interesting and simple surgical procedure with good short-term results there are no information concerning the long-term and this should be the main endpoint of a study on a simplified treatment option for pilonidal disease. No precise informations are provided in this study on important aspects like preoperative status of pilonidal disease (of all 33 patients!), follow up protocoll, follow up time, follow up rate etc.