



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21718

**Title:** Parenchymal-sparing liver surgery in patients with colorectal carcinoma liver metastases

**Reviewer's code:** 00183251

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-08-04 08:38

**Date reviewed:** 2015-08-10 16:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent review on the historical perspective, oncological basis, patient selection and surgical techniques of PSLs. From the literatures listed in the manuscript, we can clearly understand the urgent need for tumor-oriented operation instead of traditional segment resection in the era of comprehensive therapy for colorectal liver metastasis. The overall structure of the manuscript is complete. The authors cited all of the important references to clarify the historic evolution of surgical procedures in CLM, and clearly presented that PSLs will be gold-standard surgical approach for patients with CLM. The conclusions may improve the readers' practice and have value for publication.



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21718

**Title:** Parenchymal-sparing liver surgery in patients with colorectal carcinoma liver metastases

**Reviewer’s code:** 03317096

**Reviewer’s country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-08-04 08:38

**Date reviewed:** 2015-08-25 04:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a well-performed revision of an interesting issue called parenchymal-sparing liver surgery in patients with colorectal carcinoma liver metastases. I think it is in priority publishing because of solidification the concept of modern liver surgery. No corrections in English nor in the paper's structure. Only in section tumor biology, the authors should look in three recent published papers, to increase discussion on this topic: Lupinacci RM et al. Lymphatic drainage of the liver and its implications in the management of colorectal cancer liver metastases. Updates Surg. 2014 Dec;66(4):239-45. doi: 10.1007/s13304-014-0265-0 Lupinacci RM et al. Prognostic implication of mucinous histology in resected colorectal cancer liver metastases. Surgery. 2014 Jun;155(6):1062-8. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2014.01.011. Lupinacci RM et al. Intrahepatic lymphatic invasion but not vascular invasion is a major prognostic factor after resection of colorectal cancer liver metastases. World J Surg. 2014 Aug;38(8):2089-96. doi: 10.1007/s00268-014-2511-5.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21718

**Title:** Parenchymal-sparing liver surgery in patients with colorectal carcinoma liver metastases

**Reviewer's code:** 00057645

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-08-04 08:38

**Date reviewed:** 2015-08-26 23:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper titled "Parenchymal-sparing liver surgery in patients with colorectal carcinoma liver metastases" report an extensive literature review on interesting and emerging topic. The manuscript is well-written and addresses the issue in a comprehensive manner with both oncological and surgical aspects. All the sections are clear and complete. Moreover, the figures show effectively and in a schematic way the different surgical possibilities according to the number and site of the lesions. Some minor concerns should be addressed to the Authors: ? In "Hystorical Perspective and Oncological Basis" section the Authors reported literature data in favour of non-anatomic resection (NAR), repeat hepatectomy and that the width of surgical margin did not correlate with liver recurrence. However some important experimental and pathological studies regarding micrometastasis and "dangerous halo" should be mentioned and discussed in the text. 1) Wakai T, et al. Appraisal of 1 cm hepatectomy margins for intrahepatic micrometastases in patients with colorectal carcinoma liver metastasis. Ann Surg Oncol. 2008; 15(9):2472-2481 2) Wakai T, et al. Histological evaluation of intrahepatic micrometastases in patients treated with or without



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

neoadjuvant chemotherapy for colorectal carcinoma liver metastasis. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2012; 5(4):308-314 3) Mentha G, et al. Dangerous halo after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and two-step hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastases. *Br J Surg.* 2009; 96:95-103 ? In "Imaging techniques" section the role of MRI especially with Diffusion-Weighted sequences should be discussed and emphasized. Moreover it might be interesting to know the opinion of the authors on the use of contrast enhanced intraoperative ultrasound (CE-IIOUS), which in our experience showed an additional diagnostic role in patients resected after chemotherapy 4. 4) Ruzzenente A, et al. Usefulness of contrast-enhanced intraoperative ultrasonography (CEIIOUS) in patients with colorectal liver metastases after preoperative chemotherapy. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2013;17(2):281-7