

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 25694

Title: Unexpected gallbladder cancer: surgical strategies and prognostic factors

Reviewer's code: 01559565

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-22 16:09

Date reviewed: 2016-03-24 23:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting up-to-date editorial concerning unexpected gallbladder cancer. Although the format does not allow for a deep analysis of the literature, most important issues are covered in this editorial. I would add a recent issue about the possibility of perform the re-resection (or review surgery) by laparoscopy. It has been used frequently in more recent years and it was a subject of a recently paper published by Machado MA et al in Annals of Surgical Oncology (Totally Laparoscopic Hepatic Bisegmentectomy (s4b+s5) and Hilar Lymphadenectomy for Incidental Gallbladder Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2015 Dec;22 Suppl 3:336-9. doi: 10.1245/s10434-015-4650-9) I believe this discussion could improve the manuscript

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 25694

Title: Unexpected gallbladder cancer: surgical strategies and prognostic factors

Reviewer's code: 01560504

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-22 16:09

Date reviewed: 2016-03-25 15:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good manuscript though it needs a revision.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 25694

Title: Unexpected gallbladder cancer: surgical strategies and prognostic factors

Reviewer's code: 02822913

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-22 16:09

Date reviewed: 2016-03-26 07:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a fair summary of management issues related to incidental GB cancer, however, no new synthesis of the information available is provided. -"gallstones" should be used instead of "stones" -GBCA is not typically considered a "good prognosis" disease and intrinsic aggressiveness is more related to mortality than delay in dx -It should be stated that residual disease and nodal disease are the dominant prognostic factors -The author reports a survival advantage of reresection based on the French series but the reason for that was non reresected patients had a far higher rate of comorbidity and advanced cancer...this was not a matched comparison -The recommendations for management of port sites are unclear -The conclusions are out of sync with the rest of the paper