

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 25065

Title: Restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 00073423

Reviewer's country: Lithuania

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-02-24 14:06

Date reviewed: 2016-03-12 23:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The narrative review of the studies on UC surgery. The topic is important as the number of IBD patients is growing and the number of patients requiring colectomies is increasing. Therefore the topic is important. The authors only analyze the RP-IPAA operations. It remains unknown why the data about the colectomies with ileorectal anastomosis are not analyzed? According to the review there are small number of studies and just a few with acceptable methodologies. Therefore, the conclusion of the review is uncertain and there is an urgent need for well designed controlled studies in this area. If it is possible, I would kindly suggest to make the conclusions a bit more exact. As there are no data supporting any of methods or techniques it may be advocated to emphasize, that the choice of type of surgery could be based upon the experience and skills of the performing surgeons in the hospital as well as upon the every individual case. It would be solid if the authors could still make some meta-analysis and could make some recommendations what "must be done" or "must not be done" during the choice of operations and during the operation.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 25065

Title: Restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 00057600

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-02-24 14:06

Date reviewed: 2016-03-16 09:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

no comments

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 25065

Title: Restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 02841861

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-02-24 14:06

Date reviewed: 2016-03-16 10:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is a review of the surgery for ulcerative colitis, the authors given a title of "controversies in surgery for ulcerative colitis: a review", however, the conclusion shows there is no much different such as open or laparoscopic approach, number of stages of surgery, type of pouch, hand or stapled ileal pouch-anal anastomosis. So I am questioning about if the "controversies" should be used in the title. In another hand, most data in this paper was mainly from Meta-analysis, and some references were long ago, so I suggest the authors to add more recently papers about this disease besides Meta-analysis.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 25065

Title: Restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 00181118

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-02-24 14:06

Date reviewed: 2016-03-24 20:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity of reviewing this manuscript. It is a narrative overview rather than a systematic review on surgery for ulcerative colitis. It is nicely written however it does not offer new data on the current evidence in surgery for ulcerative colitis. Numerous reviews have been written in the same topic and recently the ECCO group published in JCC a detailed guideline with evidence level for every statement on surgery for ulcerative colitis. The other comment is that many aspects of surgery for ulcerative colitis have not been included in the manuscript such as total proctocolectomy, or colectomy with ileo-rectal anastomosis that are operations still in use in selected cases. About restorative proctocolectomy there are robust evidence that the laparoscopic approach improve fertility in female patients and the reduction of adhesion formation decrease the risk of intestinal obstruction, this aspect has not been considered in the manuscript. For those considerations I think that the manuscript is not suitable for publication in a scientific journal such as WJG.