

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 57615

**Title:** Internal hemorrhoid harboring adenocarcinoma: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03011144

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** BM BCh, DNB

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-06-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-07-17 03:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-17 08:37

**Review time:** 5 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. Past history of laser ablation to be clarified - when? whether a lesion was present? 2. Was the abnormal pathology traced to the corresponding column excised? 3. How exactly was the re excision carried out ? The description "..full-thickness transanal excision with 2 cm lateral margins and a 4-5 cm extension into the distal rectum" does not mention about the depth of excision. Was a frozen section examination planned at the time of re excision? 4. Whether the background Diabetes / age had any influence on poor wound healing in addition to the local factors? 5. The figure has actually 2 sets of photo-micrographs and accordingly can be individually labelled for better information 6. The figure legend does not include the details of magnification, stain, etc 7. The call for screening hemorrhoidectomy specimens is not new [Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1992 Apr;174(4):302-4.] 8. How to differentiate whether the lesion is a deposit / primary / implanted on histology?